



2019 Report of the Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board

*State Government Article § 9-3212, Chapter 515(3) of
2016 (Senate Bill 1005)*

Chairman: Daniel M. Long

Submitted by:
Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention

Contact: Daniel Atzmon
410-697-9316 | Daniel.Atzmon@Maryland.gov

MSAR #10907
December 31, 2019

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Acknowledgements	2
Roster of Members	3
Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board	3
Justice Reinvestment Advisory Board	4
Local Government Justice Reinvestment Commission	5
Executive Summary	6
Background	8
Justice Reinvestment Act - Studies and Reports	9
Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board	10
Geriatric Parole	10
Legislative Impacts	11
Victim Restitution & Notification	11
Annual Recommendations	12
Geriatric Parole	12
Additional Legislative Recommendations	13
Recommended Outcome Measures	13
Justice Reinvestment Advisory Board	14
Local Government Justice Reinvestment Commission	15
Future Priorities	17
Appendices	18
Appendix A: Oversight Board Meeting Agendas	19
Appendix B: Advisory Board Meeting Agendas	23
Appendix C: Local Government Commission Meeting Agendas	25

Acknowledgements

This *2019 Report of the Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board* is the result of hard work, valuable input, and dedication from numerous stakeholders: government officials, law enforcement, state's attorneys, victims' rights representatives, and state and local government representatives. Everyone was generous with their time and supportive feedback. Their participation, feedback, suggestions, and recommendations were invaluable for the final report. The completion, timeliness, and comprehensiveness of this report would not have been possible without their active participation and support.

Roster of Members

Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board

The Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board is composed of various members, and a Chair appointed by Governor Hogan.

Judge Daniel M. Long
Chairman

Senator Michael Hough
Maryland Senate

Delegate Kathleen Dumais
Maryland House of Delegates

V. Glenn Fueston, Jr.
Vice Chairman, Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention

Secretary Robert L. Green
Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services

John D. Smack
Maryland Parole Commission

Major Roland Butler
Maryland State Police

David Eppler
Office of the Attorney General

Paul DeWolfe
Office of the Public Defender

Secretary David Brinkley
Department of Budget and Management

Secretary Robert Neall
Maryland Department of Health

Council President Corey Pack
Talbot County Council

Chief Judge John Morrissey
District Court of Maryland

Judge Kathleen Gallogly Cox
Baltimore County Circuit Court

James Rzepkowski
Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation

Sheriff Jim Dewees
Carroll County Sheriff's Office

Scott Shellenberger
State's Attorney, Baltimore County

Major T.D. Reece
Calvert County Sheriff's Office

County Executive Bob Culver
Wicomico County

Russell Butler
Crime Victims' Representative

Lori Brewster
Wicomico County Health Department

Perthean Toins-Banks
Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation

Gail Watts
Baltimore County Department of Corrections

Dr. Travis Gayles
Montgomery County Health Department

Justice Reinvestment Advisory Board

The Justice Reinvestment Advisory Board consists of various members selected by the Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board, and is chaired by the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention.

V. Glenn Fueston, Jr.
Chairman, Governor's Office of Crime
Control and Prevention

Anthony Washington
AFSCME

Gerald Standbury
NAACP

June White Dillard
NAACP

Nicholas Charles Katz
CASA de Maryland

Toni Holness
ACLU of Maryland

Christine Celeste
Maryland Bar Association

Michele Hughes
Representative of Victims of Sexual Assault

Crista Taylor
Behavioral Health System Baltimore

Ben Pearlman
Maryland Retailers Association

Caryn York
Job Opportunities Task Force

Bill Gaertner
Gatekeepers

Dr. Valerie Barnes
Maryland Chamber of Commerce

Trisha Gentle
Maryland Network Against Domestic
Violence

Local Government Justice Reinvestment Commission

The Local Government Justice Reinvestment Commission includes members from each jurisdiction, and an appointed Chair.

Council President Corey Pack
Chairman, Talbot County

Angela Talley
Montgomery County

Lt. Dan Lasher
Allegany County

Maj. Ross Passman
Anne Arundel County

Ganesha Martin
Baltimore City

Gail Watts
Baltimore County

Lt. Timothy Fridman
Calvert County

Sheriff Jim DeWees
Carroll County

Maj. Mary Allen
Cecil County

Officer James E. Proctor
Charles County

Joseph Hughes
Dorchester County

Randy Martin
Frederick County

Lisa Thayer Welch, Esq.
Garrett County

Lt. Wayne Ramsey
Harford County

Jack Kavanaugh
Howard County

Herbert Dennis
Kent County

Mary Lou McDonough
Prince George's County

LaMonte Cooke
Queen Anne's County

Sheriff Ronnie Howard
Somerset County

Lt. Peter F. Wild
St. Mary's County

Jordan Lysczek, Esq.
Washington County

Lt. Richard Wiersberg
Wicomico County

State's Attorney Kris Heiser
Worcester County

Executive Summary

Chapter 515 of 2016 (Senate Bill 1005), *Justice Reinvestment Act*, established a comprehensive criminal justice reform package to enable Maryland to better protect communities, restore families, and move the State's economy forward.¹ The Act also created three entities to provide oversight and guidance on the implementation of the Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA).

- [Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board](#) (Oversight Board)
- [Justice Reinvestment Advisory Board](#) (Advisory Board)
- [Local Government Justice Reinvestment Commission](#) (Local Commission)

Subtitle 32 of the State Government Article required the Oversight Board to meet at least quarterly each year, and to be staffed by the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention (Office).² It also required the Oversight Board to establish the Advisory Board to include criminal justice system stakeholders in the analysis of the implementation of the justice reinvestment initiatives, and to consult and coordinate with the Local Commission and other units of the State and local jurisdictions concerning justice reinvestment issues.

To address this charge, the Oversight Board, the Advisory Board, and the Local Commission coordinated efforts to implement the law as required and to ensure it affects the desired changes in Maryland's prison and case outcomes. Through this partnership, member agencies monitored implementation successes and addressed roadblocks to performance measurement.

- The Oversight Board explored improvements to geriatric parole, coordinated legislative efforts with JRA-related program, generated recommendations to improve victim restitution, and made funding recommendations for local awards under the [Performance Incentive Grant Fund](#).
- The Advisory Board reviewed performance measures and data collected by the Office across a range of issues, contributed to the development of victim restitution recommendations, and began exploring opportunities to expand expungements.
- The Local Commission helped develop priority areas and performance measures for funding under the Performance Incentive Grant Fund and continued efforts to improve local coordination and information sharing.

Pursuant to § 9-3212 of the State Government Article, this *2019 Report of the Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board* includes information on the activities of the Oversight Board and

¹ Maryland General Assembly. (2016). [Chapter 515 of 2016 \(Senate Bill 1005\)](#).

² The Office serves as the coordinating agency for the Oversight Board, the Advisory Board, and the Local Commission, and oversees the JRA performance measurement. In this capacity, the Office staffs, manages membership, and coordinates activities and follow-up actions for each.

the Local Commission from January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, and recommendations for further improvement, including:

- Incorporating technical and substantive modifications to the original JRA provisions.
- Standardizing justice records, beginning with standard law enforcement reporting through the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS), which is currently used in two of Maryland's five most populous jurisdictions.
- Coordinating with universities to evaluate promising practices in Maryland.

Background

Chapter 515 of 2016 created an Oversight Board, under Subtitle 32 of the State Government Article, with staffing from the Office to provide oversight and guidance on the implementation of JRA.³ In accordance with § 9-3207 of the State Government Article, the Oversight Board must:

- Monitor progress and compliance with the implementation of the recommendations of the Justice Reinvestment Coordinating Council;⁴
- Consider the recommendations of the Local Commission and any legislation, regulations, rules, budgetary changes, or other actions taken to implement the recommendations of the Justice Reinvestment Coordinating Council;
- Make additional legislative and budgetary recommendations for future data-driven, fiscally sound criminal justice policy changes;
- Collect and analyze the data submitted under § 9-3208 of this subtitle regarding pretrial detainees;
- In collaboration with the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, the Maryland Parole Commission, the Administrative Office of the Courts, and the Maryland State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy, create performance measures to track and assess the outcomes of the laws related to the recommendations of the Justice Reinvestment Coordinating Council;
- In collaboration with the Maryland Parole Commission, monitor administrative release under § 7-301.1 of the Correctional Services Article and determine whether to adjust eligibility considering the effectiveness of administrative release and evidence-based practices;
- Create performance measures to assess the effectiveness of the grants administered under § 9-3209 of this subtitle; and
- Consult and coordinate with:
 - The Local Commission; and
 - Other units of the State and local jurisdictions concerning justice reinvestment issues.

In addition, § 9-3207(e) of the State Government Article required the Oversight Board to establish an Advisory Board for the purpose of including stakeholders in the criminal justice system in the analysis of the implementation of justice reinvestment initiatives. Additionally, § 9-3211 of the State Government Article created and charged the Local Commission to:

³ Maryland General Assembly. (2016). [*Chapter 515 of 2016 \(Senate Bill 1005\)*](#).

⁴ Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention. (2015). [*Justice Reinvestment Coordinating Council Final Report*](#).

- Advise the Oversight Board on matters related to legislation, regulations, rules, budgetary changes, and all other actions needed to implement the recommendations of the Justice Reinvestment Coordinating Council as they relate to local governments;
- Make recommendations to the Oversight Board regarding grants to local governments from the Performance Incentive Grant Fund; and⁵
- Create performance measures to assess the effectiveness of the grants.

Furthermore, and in accordance with § 9-3212 of the State Government Article, the Oversight Board must report to the Governor and the General Assembly by December 31 of each year as it relates to the activities of the Oversight Board and the Local Commission.

Justice Reinvestment Act - Studies and Reports

Chapter 515 of 2016 also required several studies to inform decision makers in various fields of the criminal justice system on topics relating to justice reinvestment, to include: budgetary requirements on location detention centers, substance use and mental health disorder gaps and needs analysis, criminal mediation best practices work group, report on organized retail theft, report on restitution study, and more. For more information on these studies, please visit: goccp.maryland.gov/justice-reinvestment.

⁵ Maryland General Assembly. (2016). [*Chapter 515 of 2016 \(Senate Bill 1005\)*](#); § 9-3209 of the State Government Article. The Performance Incentive Grant Fund is to make use of the savings from the implementation of the recommendations of the Justice Reinvestment Coordinating Council, and is to be administered by the Office as indicated in this subsection.

Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board

JRA established the Oversight Board to monitor the progress and compliance of its implementation.⁶ Under the leadership of Chairman Long, the Oversight Board formed subgroups and engaged in statewide outreach to stakeholders to promote the adoption and awareness of JRA. The Oversight Board met quarterly in 2019 to provide updates on placement times for court-ordered treatment and agency updates on the progress of JRA (*see [Appendix A for meeting agendas](#)*). Between meetings, Chairman Long and staff from the Office engaged with members of State and local government, advocacy groups, and criminal justice organizations to solicit feedback, and to identify potential issues meriting the attention of the Oversight Board.

Each quarter, the Oversight Board received presentations on the progress and issues surrounding specific provisions of JRA. In 2019, the Maryland Department of Health (MDH) reported that the average placement time under § 8-507 of the Health General Article was within the established JRA placement window of 21 days. MDH also reported that placement into treatment decreased to 10-10.5 days, and assessments under § 8-505 of the Health General Article largely occurred within the required seven days. Throughout this time, MDH continued to communicate with providers to ensure treatment bed availability continued to meet and exceed demand.

In 2019, the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) reported data tracking of revocation cap sentences. Through this data tracking process, AOC identified 310 technical revocation cases that had been impacted by JRA sentencing changes, and 85% of such cases that had been decided within the JRA caps. This general trend honors the guidance of the new JRA sentencing caps, and is consistent across all technical revocation sentences, regardless of being subject to JRA.

To continue refinement of JRA performance measurement, and to set concrete targets, the Oversight Board formed a year-end workgroup of staff researchers and agency analysts to collect JRA data, and to collaboratively develop performance measures with the Office.

Geriatric Parole

Prior to enactment, various stakeholders expressed their interest in the expansion of parole for low risk offenders, such as geriatric population. While the JRA did expand parole eligibility for geriatric prisoners serving mandatory sentences for crimes of violence, to date only one inmate has been released under this provision. Because of this, the Oversight Board created the Geriatric Parole Workgroup in 2019 to make recommendations for improvement of geriatric parole.

In July 2019, the Geriatric Parole Workgroup presented a series of recommendations to the Oversight Board to expand geriatric parole eligibility. Specifically, the workgroup proposed

⁶ Maryland General Assembly. (2016). [Chapter 515 of 2016 \(Senate Bill 1005\)](#)

adding a geriatric parole provision to § 7-301 of the Correctional Services Article where an inmate 60 or older, is entitled to an annual evaluation using a dynamic risk assessment and management instrument suitable to predict risk of violence. These recommendations were unanimously adopted by the Oversight Board in November 2019.

In addition, the Chair and fellow Board members suggested the allocation of additional general funds, beyond what may be available through the Performance Incentive Grant Fund, by the Governor and General Assembly to support reentry services for the geriatric population.

Following the Board's adoption of the Geriatric Parole Recommendations, The Office and the Chair received a letter dated December 3, 2019, from the Office of the Public Defender (OPD) expressing the following concerns: the recommendations do not sufficiently expand the pool of individuals eligible for parole, and the risk assessments proposed by the Parole Commission are not sufficiently robust. OPD proposed an alternative recommendation where all inmates 60 years or over are presumptively eligible for parole regardless of crime or sentence.

OPD served on the Geriatric Parole Workgroup which developed the recommendations. While OPD both in the workgroup and before the full board at the July meeting expressed concerns that the recommendations did not go far enough to expand geriatric parole, OPD did state it would support the recommendations. At the November full board meeting OPD voiced no objections to the proposals and did not dissent from the unanimous vote of the Board.

Legislative Impacts

The Oversight Board reviewed subsequent impacts of 2019 legislation related to law enforcement, corrections, criminal law and procedure, and firearms to identify overlap with JRA programs and potential impacts to State and local corrections populations. Legislative efforts in 2019 resulted in an expanded list of citable crimes, investments in treatment, and additional studies. These provisions complement JRA performance tracking and mark an expansion of JRA efforts. Going forward, the Oversight Board will request quarterly updates on these new provisions to monitor any resulting change in detention trends.

Victim Restitution & Notification

In 2019, the Oversight Board continued to receive insight on the progress of new provisions that impact the collection and disbursement of victim restitution, as well as the potential technological solutions to issues that impact victim notification.

[Chapter 422 of 2018 \(House Bill 247\), Criminal Procedure - Victim Services Unit - Victims' Compensation](#), established the Victim Services Unit in the Office. It also charged the Victim Services Unit to coordinate with the Judiciary, the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, the Department of Juvenile Services, the Central Collection Unit, State's Attorney's

Offices, and local correctional facilities to improve restitution collection. In addition, Chapter 422 of 2018 requires the Oversight Board to monitor the formation of the Victim Services Unit, and to provide oversight and guidance. It also requires the Oversight Board to ensure that the data systems developed by the Victim Services Unit enhance victim services and are user-friendly for persons responsible for the data systems. In addition, the Oversight Board must ensure the Victim Services Unit adopts appropriate outcome measures, reviews outcomes, and recommends any appropriate actions based on the outcomes; and assess whether the current system of collecting restitution should remain within the existing State and local entities. Furthermore, it requires the Oversight Board to report to the Governor and General by December 31, 2019, as it relates to recommendations to improve the process of restitution, including whether the Victim Services Unit can take over restitution collections without impacting its ability to serve victims.

Annual Recommendations

At its final meeting in 2019, the Oversight Board received and approved several modifications to the original JRA provisions. These suggested changes, both technical and substantive, resulted from the continued communication with State and local agencies and the assessment of JRA's performance. The Oversight Board approved the following changes on a consensus basis and recommends that they be adopted.

Geriatric Parole

The Oversight Board recommends the following framework for the creation of a geriatric parole provision under § 7-301 of the Correctional Services Article:

- (a) Scope: This section applies to any inmate who is serving a parole eligible term of years, and is subject to the eligibility standards specified in § 7-301 of this subtitle. This subtitle does not apply to a person sentenced to life imprisonment, registered, or eligible for registration under Title 11, Subtitle 7 of the Criminal Procedure Article.
- (b) In general. An inmate aged 60 or older, is entitled to an annual evaluation using a dynamic risk assessment and management instrument suitable to predict risk of violence. Assessment for geriatric parolees should include a strength-based needs assessment component to guide release conditions. Upon assessment, the inmate will receive a hearing within 6 months, and significant weight must be given to the impact of age on the reduced risk of recidivism and the outcome of a dynamic risk and needs assessment in determining conditions of release.
 - (i) The Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services' Risk Assessment currently being utilized and completed by Department of Corrections Case Management staff will be the dynamic assessment used.

- (ii) Each inmate will also undergo a mental health evaluation and strength-based assessment to predict behavioral health and social service needs as well as release conditions prior to the hearing. The evaluation will be administered by a licensed clinician.
- (c) Information considered. The information to be considered by the Commission before granting geriatric parole shall, at a minimum, include:
 - (i) the results of a dynamic risk screener that accounts for the decreased risk of recidivism due to age and incorporates case planning to address identified risk factors, and
 - (ii) a mental health evaluation.
- (d) The Maryland Parole Commission shall adopt regulations to implement this subsection.
- (e) This provision will go into effect on October 1, 2020.

Additional Legislative Recommendations

1. Funding should be allocated to the Parole Commission for two additional administrative staff members and two licensed clinicians.
2. It is the intention of this expansion to serve as a pilot to improve parole outcomes for geriatric aged inmates to guide eventual expansion to include inmates sentenced to life in prison. In 2-3 years, the outcomes should be evaluated for expansion to include the life sentenced population.
3. Semiannually, the Maryland Parole Commission will report to the Oversight Board the outcomes of geriatric parole, including refusal reasons, and once calculable, the specific rates of recidivism and return of geriatric parolees.
4. Cost savings awarded to the Performance Incentive Grant Fund as a result of this measure shall be directed to the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services to be directly reinvested in:
 - any costs associated with expanded risk screening to accommodate the geriatric population, and
 - the provision of pre-release and reentry case management and reentry resources for geriatric aged inmates.

Recommended Outcome Measures

1. **Release rates:** Number of eligible geriatric parolees granted parole relative to the total number eligible.
2. **Average hearing timeline:** The average time between eligibility for geriatric parole and geriatric parole hearing, and time before rehearing.
3. **Denial reasons:** Track denial reasons to identify barriers to release and guide the development of geriatric programming and risk assessment.
4. **Geriatric-specific recidivism rate:** Separate the recidivism rate of geriatric aged

parolees, over age 60, compared to the overall recidivism rate in Maryland.

Justice Reinvestment Advisory Board

The Advisory Board was formed to include the perspective of criminal justice reform stakeholders, and to provide advice to the Oversight Board on outcomes and policy recommendations. The Advisory Board consists of 12 stakeholders with perspectives ranging from reentry to victim services.

The Advisory Board continued to identify needs for improved data collection and reporting. With additional reporting categories expected in late 2019 from the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, this work will continue into 2020.

The Advisory Board advised the Victim Services Unit on the recommendations included in the Restitution Report delivered by the Oversight Board. In addition, the Advisory Board began a detailed examination of expungements which will continue into 2020.

Local Government Justice Reinvestment Commission

Chapter 515 of 2016 established the Local Commission to provide local government with a voice in the JRA process. In 2019, and with the nomination of Robert Green as Secretary of the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, Corey Pack of Talbot County was nominated as Chairman of the Local Commission. Under the leadership of the former Chairman Green and the present Chairman Pack, the Local Commission convened three times (February, August, and November) to build upon the efforts made to date.⁷

The expansion of evidence-based recidivism reduction programs, which are eligible for expanded diminution credit opportunities, is a high priority for reinvestment at the local level. The 2018 survey of local programming served as a road map for jurisdictions planning program expansion using Performance Incentive Grant funds and helped guide the Local Commission's recommendations for priority funding areas under the Performance Incentive Grant Fund.

In February 2019, the Local Commission engaged in a strategic planning process to identify priority areas for funding under the local category of the Performance Incentive Grant Fund. Based on 2018 discussions, data, and presentations, the Commission identified the following priority populations: incarcerated women, justice-involved individuals in need of mental health programming, incarcerated parents, and victims of crime. In addition, the Commission identified the following priority categories:

- Evidence-Based Practices (EBP)
 - EBPs may include a range of projects serving individuals during law enforcement interactions, through pretrial services, prosecutor-led programming, or other services in local detention centers.
 - Projects may involve diversion from the criminal justice system, interventions with populations at high risk of arrest, or other services for justice-involved populations.
- Reentry
 - County level reentry projects can include workforce development programs, reentry services with an in-reach/pre-release component, transition coordination, case management or clinical services, and somatic or behavioral healthcare services.
- Specialty Courts
 - Specialty court projects can include funding to provide clinical staff to enhance or expand mental health courts, drug courts, and specialty dockets addressing veterans or individuals experiencing homelessness.

⁷ See [Appendix C](#) for agendas.

- Funding can also be used to expand or establish Forensic Alternative Services Teams (FAST) to improve assessments, referrals and diversion to the most appropriate court, and an array of services.
- Funding may also be used to expand or establish a state certified Abuser Intervention Programming.
- Pretrial Risk Assessments and Services
 - Pretrial projects can assist counties in the development, implementation, and improvement of pretrial services programs that reduce the size and cost of pretrial detention populations at the county level, and reduce recidivism and improve public safety outcomes.
 - All funded programs are required to meet the following criteria:
 - Use of a validated, evidence-based, race-neutral risk scoring instrument to guide release or no bond decisions;
 - Use of best practices shown to be effective in other jurisdictions; and
 - Incorporate multiple levels of supervision based on defendant risk scores.

In 2020, the Local Commission will monitor grantee progress and outcomes, and will continue to assess gaps in services to help target future years' funding under the Performance Incentive Grant Fund. In addition, pre-trial risk assessments and services will continue to be an area of focus for 2020.

Future Priorities

Beyond the recommendations provided in this report, the Oversight Board will continue to refine policy recommendations for victim restitution, geriatric parole, data collection, as well as any JRA area with opportunities to improve performance and enhance public safety.

The Advisory Board will continue its recommendations for data standardization and will revisit opportunities to improve access to expungements. The Advisory Board will also develop specific recommendations regarding expungements.

Over the same period, the Local Commission will identify a concrete list of outcomes for grant opportunities directed at local programs, as well as a reinvestment plan for Performance Incentive Grant Fund awards. To inform its decision, the Local Commission will monitor grantee performance and will receive quarterly updates and presentations from grantees.

Appendices

Appendix A: Oversight Board Meeting Agendas

Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board



Location: Judiciary Committee Hearing Room, Room 100
Lowe House of Delegates Office Building
6 Bladen Street, Annapolis, MD

Date: Friday, January 25, 2019

Time: 2:00 -3:30 p.m.

Agenda details:

- | | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 2:00 | I. Welcome
Call to Order
Adoption of Minutes | Hon. Daniel Long, Chair |
| 2:05-2:20 | II. Unger Reentry Project | Justice Policy Institute |
| 2:25-2:40 | III. Geriatric Parole Process | Maryland Parole Commission |
| 2:45-2:55 | IV. Victim Restitution Update | Don Hogan, Russell Butler |
| 2:55-3:15 | V. Agency Updates
Maryland Department of Health
Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation
Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
Maryland Parole Commission
Administrative Office of the Courts
Office of the Public Defender
Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention | (5 minutes each) |
| 3:30 | VI. Good of the Order & Adjournment | Hon. Daniel Long, Chair |

Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board



Location: Joint Committee Hearing Room
90 State Circle, Annapolis, MD
Date: Thursday, April 18, 2019
Time: 1:00 -2:30 p.m.

Agenda details:

- | | | |
|-----------|---|------------------------------------|
| 1:00 | I. Welcome
Call to Order
Adoption of Minutes | Hon. Daniel Long, Chair |
| 1:05-1:25 | II. Legislative Updates | Delegate Dumais & GOCCP |
| 1:25-2:40 | III. Performance Measurement Review | Angelina Guarino |
| 1:40-2:25 | IV. Agency Updates
Administrative Office of the Courts
Maryland Department of Health
Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation
Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
Local Detention Centers
Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention | (5-10 minutes each) |
| 2:30 | V. Good of the Order & Adjournment | Hon. Daniel Long, Chair |

Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board



Location: House Judiciary Committee Hearing Room,
Room 101
House Office Building, Annapolis, MD 21401

Date: Tuesday, July 16, 2019

Time: 1:00 -2:30 p.m.

Agenda details:

- | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------------|
| 1:00 | I. Call to Order | Chair Daniel Long |
| 1:05-1:25 | II. Geriatric Parole Workgroup Report | |
| 1:25-1:40 | III. JRA Performance Measurement | |
| 1:40-2:00 | IV. Reinvestment Plan | |
| 2:00-2:30 | V. Agency Updates (5 minutes each) <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Maryland Department of Healthb. Department of Public Safety and Correctional Servicesc. Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulationd. Administrative Office of the Courtse. Local Detention Centersf. Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention | |
| | VI. Good of the Order & Adjournment | Chair Daniel Long |

Justice Reinvestment Oversight Board



Location: House Judiciary Committee Hearing Room,
Room 101
House Office Building, Annapolis, MD 21401

Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019

Time: 1:30 -3:00 p.m.

Agenda details:

- | | | | |
|-------|-------------|--|--------------------------|
| I. | 1:30 - 1:35 | Call to Order | Chair Daniel Long |
| II. | 1:35 - 1:50 | ROCA Presentation | |
| III. | 1:50 - 2:15 | Agency Updates | |
| | | A. Maryland Department of Health | |
| | | B. Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services | |
| | | C. Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation | |
| | | D. Administrative Office of the Courts | |
| | | E. Local Detention Centers | |
| IV. | 2:15 - 2:20 | JRA Performance Measurement | |
| V. | 2:20 - 2:25 | Reinvestment/Performance Incentive
Grant Fund Update | |
| | | A. Oversight Board Review Committee | |
| VI. | 2:25 - 2:30 | Restitution Report | |
| VII. | 2:30 - 3:00 | Geriatric Parole | |
| VIII. | | Good of the Order & Adjournment | Chair Daniel Long |

Appendix B: Advisory Board Meeting Agendas

Justice Reinvestment Advisory Board



Location: Governor's Coordinating Offices,
Conference Room Side B
100 Community Place
Crownsville, MD 21032

Date: Wednesday, June 12, 2019

Time: 12:00 p.m. – 1:30p.m.

Agenda details:

- | | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 12:00 | ❖ | Introductions |
| 12:15 | ❖ | Performance Update |
| 12:30 | ❖ | Prior Meeting Follow-up |
| 12:45 | ❖ | New Data Collection
MAT in Corrections
Restrictive Housing
Pretrial Detention
Restitution Collection
Gun Crime |
| 1:00 | ❖ | Reinvestment Timeline |
| 1:15 | ❖ | Closing Thoughts and Next Steps
Expungement Provisions |

Justice Reinvestment Advisory Board



Location: Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention
Main Conference Room
100 Community Place
Crownsville, MD 21032

Date: Tuesday, September 24, 2019

Time: 12:00 p.m. – 1:30p.m.

Agenda details:

- **Introductions**
- **Transition Updates**
- **Review and Approval of Minutes**
- **Performance Incentive Grant Fund**
- **Review of Performance Measures**
- **Prior Issues: Expungements**
- **Open Forum**
- **Closing Thoughts and Next Steps**

Appendix C: Local Government Commission Meeting Agendas

Local Government Justice Reinvestment Commission



Location: Maryland Department of Transportation
7201 Corporate Center Drive
Hanover, MD 21076

Date: Thursday, February 14, 2019

Time: 11:00 a.m. - 2:00p.m.

Agenda details:

- | | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 11:00 | ❖ | Introductions |
| 11:05 | ❖ | Meeting Goals |
| 11:15 | ❖ | Setting the Stage: Effective Program Strategies |
| 11:30 | ❖ | Identifying Needs and Opportunities |
| 12:30 | ❖ | Break |
| 12:45 | ❖ | Formalize Recommendations |
| 1:15 | ❖ | Performance Incentive Grant Funding Priorities |
| 1:45 | ❖ | Closing Thoughts and Next Steps |



GOVERNOR'S COORDINATING OFFICES

COMMUNITY INITIATIVES • SERVICE & VOLUNTEERISM • PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT
CRIME CONTROL & PREVENTION • SMALL, MINORITY & WOMEN BUSINESS AFFAIRS
CHILDREN • DEAF & HARD OF HEARING

Justice Reinvestment Local Commission

11 AM, August 12, 2019 | Conference Line: +1 443-499-6166 PIN: 631 340 001#

Agenda

- Call to Order
- Introductions
- Remarks From New Chair
- Membership and Mission Review
- Transition Updates
- Approval of Minutes
- Previous Action Items
- Program surveys
- Performance Incentive Grants
 - Overview
 - Priority areas
 - Performance Measures
- Progress Reports
- Future Meeting Topics
- Scheduling

GOVERNOR'S COORDINATING OFFICES
100 COMMUNITY PLACE
CROWNSVILLE, MD 21032-2023



GOVERNOR'S COORDINATING OFFICES

COMMUNITY INITIATIVES • SERVICE & VOLUNTEERISM • PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT
CRIME CONTROL & PREVENTION • SMALL, MINORITY & WOMEN BUSINESS AFFAIRS
CHILDREN • DEAF & HARD OF HEARING

Justice Reinvestment Local Commission

1:00 PM, November 20, 2019 | Conference Line: +1 314-474-2810 PIN: 118 100 851#

Agenda

- Introductions
 - New members
- Performance Incentive Grant Fund Updates
- JRA data & performance measures
- Surveys and local data
- Update on Advisory Board
 - Restitution and Expungement
- Geriatric parole
- Federal COAP Grant
- GOCCP Grants Team Presentation
- Local Detention Projects Updates
 - SBIRT in Detention Centers
 - HB116 Update
- Mental Health Association Updates
- Next meeting

GOVERNOR'S COORDINATING OFFICES
100 COMMUNITY PLACE
CROWNSVILLE, MD 21032-2023